Fair Lending Risks and HMDA

Kathleen O. Blanchard Key Compliance Services, LLC October 8, 2018

1

Topics

- HMDA History
- Partial Exemptions
- Privacy of HMDA Data
- Fair Lending Concerns

HMDA History

3

HMDA History

HMDA enacted in 1975

SEC. 302. Purpose Statement in Statute

- (a) FINDINGS OF CONGRESS.--The Congress finds that some depository institutions have sometimes contributed to the decline of certain geographic areas by their failure pursuant to their chartering responsibilities to provide adequate home financing to qualified applicants on reasonable terms and conditions.
- (b) PURPOSE OF CHAPTER.--The purpose of this title is to provide the citizens and public officials of the United States with sufficient information to enable them to determine whether depository institutions are filling their obligations to serve the housing needs of the communities and neighborhoods in which they are located and to assist public officials in their determination of the distribution of public sector investments in a manner designed to improve the private investment environment.
- (c) CONSTRUCTION OF CHAPTER.--Nothing in this title is intended to, nor shall it be construed to, encourage unsound lending practices or the allocation of credit.

Regulation C Purpose Statement

- (1) This part implements the Home Mortgage Disclosure Act, which is intended to provide the public with loan data that can be used:
 - (i) To help determine whether financial institutions are serving the housing needs of their communities;
 - (ii) To assist public officials in distributing public-sector investment so as to attract private investment to areas where it is needed; and
 - (iii) To assist in identifying possible discriminatory lending patterns and enforcing antidiscrimination statutes.
- (2) Neither the act nor this part is intended to encourage unsound lending practices or the allocation of credit.

5

Partial Exemptions

HMDA Partial Exemptions

- New 2018 partial exemptions affect the collection, recording and reporting of 2018 HMDA Data to approximately **3,300 institutions**.
- 5,852 institutions reported data under HMDA in 2018 (2017 data)
- **56.4%** of the 2018 reporters are estimated to be eligible for the new partial exemption

Data is from BCFP interpretive rule issued 8/31/2018 and published in Federal Register 9/7/2018.

7

Effective Date Partial Exemption

- Date law was signed by President Bureau interpretation
- BUT...can use exemption back to 1/1/2018 if institution wishes
- OR...can use from 5/24/2018 forward
- OR...voluntarily report for all of 2018

HMDA Partially Exempt Reporters

- Only affects HMDA reporters....does not create a new basic reporting threshold
- Mandatory HMDA reporters that are Partially Exempt:
 - Closed-end: Originated 25 or more loans but fewer than 500 loans 2 years in a row
 - Open-end 2018 and 2019: Partial open-end exemption is a moot point until 2020 due to temporary exclusion threshold of reporting if fewer than 500 open-end lines originated 2 years in a row – no need for partial exemption
 - Open-end 2020: Originated 100 or more but fewer than 500 lines 2 years in a row
 - Could have a new open-end threshold for 2020 if that is changed

(

Counting for Thresholds-Reporting and Partial Exemption

- All references to loans and lines means HMDA reportable closed-end loans and open-end lines.
- Institution reporting made credit decision.
- HMDA reportable = not excluded at 1003.3(c) or by other exclusions such as primarily non-residential mixed use property.
- Must be secured by HMDA reportable dwelling.
- Open-end means borrower can borrow, pay down, and borrow again.
- Closed-end if not open-end get into correct category!

Voluntary Reporting if Partially Exempt

- Any institution can voluntarily report HMDA data in general and if partially exempt – exemption not mandatory
- Institutions eligible for one or both of the partial exemptions can voluntarily report full data for one or more fields
- Can pick and choose which data points to report voluntarily perhaps use ULI if it is now automated, or continue to flag business and openend transactions.
- Can gather data for exempt fields and not report but keep for analysis
 - Consider this

1

What is the Partial Exemption

- Exempt from having to make more complicated decisions on certain fields
- Authorized to use a special code in certain fields to designate exemption from reporting
 - 1111 in numeric fields (those where all responses are numbers, never NA or a word)
 - Exempt in alphanumeric fields (those where NA is used or a word in a free form text field)
- Follow FIG for entering 1111 or Exempt and what is left blank (such as denial reasons 2-4)
- Refer to detailed charts one for reporting and one on thresholds

New Fields That Are Not Exempt

- LEI (legal entity identifier) is not excluded LEI merely replaced RSSD identifier – if cancelled, get it back!
- Age not included in sections covered by partial exception in law Fair Lending!
- Disaggregated demographic data not included in sections covered by partial exception and is an expansion of prior field Fair Lending!
- State abbreviation in property address state field is required for both property address and property location and therefore cannot be exempted
- Construction Method partial replacement of prior property type field
- Number of Units partial replacement of prior property type fields

13

NULL vs ULL

- No special code (do not enter 1111 or Exempt)
- Institution eligible for partial exemption can report a Non-Universal Loan Identifier (or use ULI)
- Similar to old application number
 - No LEI, No check digits. Must be unique within institution
- Different from old application number
 - Cannot ever be used again on future HMDA LARs if a loan is refinanced or an application is reinstated in a subsequent year one time number

Voluntary Reporting of Multi-Field Data Points

- 7 data points are comprised of multiple fields on HMDA LAR
- Cannot split multi-field data points if one field is reported voluntarily, all in data point must be reported
 - Property Address except state abbreviation, Credit Score and Model, Denial Reasons, Total Loan Costs/Total Points and Fees, Non-Amortizing Features, Application Channel/Initially Payable, AUS result and system

(Simplify: Avoid issue by not voluntarily reporting these fields)

State abbreviation is not exempt, must be completed if there is an address

15

Fair Lending Risks of HMDA

Fair Lending Risks of HMDA

- Risk has always been there but raised with new data fields
- Privacy of some fields helps but we don't have "final answer" on public files for 2018 data
- Regulatory fair lending risk exists whether fields are public or private

 examiners have all data (or access to data for those partially
 exempt)

17

Has Fair Lending Risk Increased?

- Increased data much more to be analyzed
- Earlier disclosure date about 6 months earlier know what your data says before submission
- Accuracy is important your institution is judged on the data, examiners make judgements based on the data, community groups and academics pour over the data and publish studies and make judgements
- The data tells your story know what it says

Has Fair Lending Risk Increased?

- Definitely higher from a community group/journalist/academic researcher perspective for larger reporters
- Fields under partial exemption are important for fair lending analysis...score, DTI, LTV are important to evaluate outcomes of applicants (similarly situated determination)
- Should be used for internal analysis even if partially exempt

1

Smaller Reporters (Partial Exemptions)

- Not off the hook for fair lending
- Age is not exempt
- Expanded demographic data is not exempt free form text field not public but other data will be public
- Examiners can always request data and will gain new analysis skills when looking at larger institutions – expanded knowledge re "best questions" and red flags (better at knowing implications)
 - The data that is exempt is still needed for fair lending depending upon processes and product risk

Privacy of HMDA Data Fields

Excluded fields (from public LAR) - proposed

- ULI (and NULI)
- Application date & action date
- Address (not geocoding)
- Credit score/scores and models (covered by partial exemption)
- NMLSR ID (covered by partial exemption)
- AUS Result and system (covered by partial exemption)
- Demographic free-form text fields for race and ethnicity
- Denial reasons (covered by partial exemption)

21

Range Reporting of Some Fields

- Amount
- Property Value
- DTI
- Age

Amount & Property Value Ranges

- **Amount:** disclose the midpoint for the \$10,000 interval into which the reported value falls and
 - indicate whether the reported value exceeds Fannie/Freddie conventional mortgage limits 12 U.S.C. 1717(b)(2) and 12 U.S.C. 1454(a)(2)
- **Property Value:** disclose the midpoint for the \$10,000 interval into which the reported value falls.
- CLTV not addressed if not published could estimate a basic LTV

23

DTI Ranges

Categorize reported values into the following ranges, as applicable:

- 20 percent to less than 30 percent;
- 30 percent to less than 40 percent;
- Disclose actual DTI 40 percent to less than 50 percent;
- 50 percent to less than 60 percent;
- bottom-code reported values under 20 percent; and
- top-code reported values of 60 percent or higher.

Age Ranges – Add to your Analysis

Age - Important field...will get attention

Report in ranges:

- 25 to 34,
- 35 to 44,
- 45 to 54,
- 55 to 64, and
- 65 to 74;
- bottom-code reported values under 25;
- top-code reported values over 74; and
- indicate whether the reported value is 62 or higher.

25

Ranges and Fair Lending

- Consider adding these "range" fields to your analysis...how does your data look when viewed in these public ranges?
- How does DTI look vs gender, race and ethnicity and outcomes?
 - This will attract attention of those who analyze HMDA data and make public comments

Ranges and Fair Lending

- How do property values look vs outcomes? Are more lower value properties being declined? Understand why....simply lower value/smaller properties or poor condition? It makes a difference.
- This has always been a hot button for disparate impact

Property Value: disclose the midpoint for the \$10,000 interval into which the reported value falls.

27

What Is Public and Fully Reported?

Some Higher Risk Public Fields – All Under Partial Exemption	
Total Loan Costs	Prepayment Penalty Term
Total Points and Fees	DTI
Origination Charges	CLTV & Property Value
Discount Points	Loan Term
Lender Credits	Intro Rate Period
Interest Rate	Non-Amortizing Features (balloon, interest only, non-amortizing, other non-amortizing feature)

Partially exempt institutions should consider risk and determine what fields to analyze – a LAR with 499 originations is a good sized LAR.

Points for analysis

Considering underwriting process/discretion:

- Look at fees as well as the rate for originations
- Look at time to decision it can indicate unequal assistance
 - (Bancorp South raised this to prominence)
- Look for any unusual trends in AUS recommendations vs gender, race and ethnicity (and break out AUS systems)
- Tailor depth of analysis to discretion allowed under policy
- Test to ensure policies are carried out...states no discretion, but is there? Is pricing policy adhered to?
- Exceptions allowed by policy can still lead to unequal outcomes based upon who receives exceptions standards are needed

20

Are Any Fields Not a Fair Lending Field?

- Perhaps LEI and ULI/NULI
- Provided two charts for consideration:
 - Private fields lower risk from public view aspect
 - Public fields the larger group
 - · Just about every field could be analyzed for fair lending
 - Partially exempt institutions can be asked for any data in an examination, that was always possible

Questions?

- Kathleen O. Blanchard, CRCM
- Key Compliance Services, LLC The HMDA Academy
- kblanchard@keycomplianceservices.com
- 866-628-1120
- www.kaybeescomplianceinsights.com